

Victorian Certificate of Education 2019

SUPERVISOR TO ATTACH PROCESSING LABEL HERE

Letter

STUDENT NUMBER

LEGAL STUDIES

Written examination

Tuesday 12 November 2019

Reading time: 2.00 pm to 2.15 pm (15 minutes) Writing time: 2.15 pm to 4.15 pm (2 hours)

QUESTION AND ANSWER BOOK

Structure of book

Section	Number of questions	Number of questions to be answered	Number of marks
Α	6	6	40
В	2	2	40
			Total 80

- Students are permitted to bring into the examination room: pens, pencils, highlighters, erasers, sharpeners and rulers.
- Students are NOT permitted to bring into the examination room: blank sheets of paper and/or correction fluid/tape.
- No calculator is allowed in this examination.

Materials supplied

- Question and answer book of 26 pages
- Additional space is available at the end of the book if you need extra paper to complete an answer.

Instructions

- Write your **student number** in the space provided above on this page.
- All written responses must be in English.

Students are NOT permitted to bring mobile phones and/or any other unauthorised electronic devices into the examination room.

© VICTORIAN CURRICULUM AND ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY 2019

SECTION A

Instructions for Section A

Answer **all** questions in the spaces provided.

Question 1 (6 marks)

John has been charged with an indictable offence. He has pleaded not guilty. The victims are worried about giving evidence at the upcoming trial, which is expected to last for six weeks. John's lawyer has recommended that John should apply to the court for a sentence indication and consider pleading guilty.

a. Explain **one** reason why a sentence indication **may not** be appropriate in this case.



Describe one impact that a guilty plea may have on John's criminal case.	3 mark

Question 2 (4 marks)

As at 1 July 2019, the composition of the Commonwealth Parliament was as follows.

			Senate			
	Gender			Age		
	Female	Male	Under 45	45 and over	Data not available	
Total	37	39	12	50	14	
		House of	Representativ	ves		
	Ger	ıder		Age		
	Female	Male	Under 45	45 and over	Data not available	
Total	46	105	25	106	20	

Data: Parliament of Australia, <www.aph.gov.au>

In your view, to what extent does the composition of the Commonwealth Parliament affect its ability to be representative in law-making? Justify your answer with reference to the table above.

Question 3 (5 marks)

Zena bought a second-hand dress for \$300 for her Year 12 formal. She took it to her local dry-cleaning store, No Guarantees, to get it cleaned before the formal. When Zena went to pick up the dress four days later, she was told that the store did not have any record of her dress.

No Guarantees has refused to answer Zena's calls or emails. Zena shared her story with a local newspaper and, since then, at least 12 other people have come forward with similar stories. Recently, Zena went past the store and noticed that it seemed to be permanently closed.

Zena wants to claim the full cost of her dress from No Guarantees.

Discuss the appropriateness of the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (VCAT) in resolving the dispute between Zena and No Guarantees.

Question 4 (5 marks)

Section 51(xxix) of the Australian Constitution provides the Commonwealth Parliament with the power to make laws in relation to external affairs.

In the High Court case of *Koowarta v. Bjelke-Petersen* (1982), then Chief Justice Gibbs stated: '... if s. 51(xxix) empowers the Parliament to legislate to give effect to every international agreement which the executive may choose to make, the Commonwealth would be able to acquire unlimited legislative power'.

Explain what the statement from the High Court means in relation to the external affairs power.

Question 5 (10 marks)

In October 2018, the Victorian Law Reform Commission (VLRC) received terms of reference to review and report on Victoria's committal system. As part of this review, the VLRC will consider: 'whether Victoria should maintain, abolish, replace or reform the present committal system [and] ... ways to minimise the need for victims and other vulnerable witnesses to give evidence multiple

times'.

The VLRC is due to report back to the government in March 2020.

a. Referring to committal proceedings, justify **one** reason for the Victorian court hierarchy. 3 marks

	-
	•
	-
	-

Section 116 of the Australian Constitution states: 'The Commonwealth shall not make any law for establishing any religion, or for imposing any religious observance, or for prohibiting the free exercise of any religion, and no religious test shall be required as a qualification for any office or public trust under the Commonwealth'.

Discuss the extent to which the Australian people can prevent the Commonwealth Parliament from making any laws on religion.



11	2019 LEGAL STUDIES EXAM

SECTION B

Instructions for Section B

Use stimulus material, where provided, to answer the questions in this section. It is not intended that this material will provide you with all the information to fully answer the questions. Answer **all** questions in the spaces provided.

Question 1 (23 marks)

Source 1

The following is an extract of section 22 of the Defamation Act 2005 (Vic).

22 Roles of judicial officers and juries in defamation proceedings

(1) This section applies to defamation proceedings that are tried by jury.

•••

(3) If the jury finds that the defendant has published defamatory matter about the plaintiff and that no defence has been established, the judicial officer and not the jury is to determine the amount of damages (if any) that should be awarded to the plaintiff and all unresolved issues of fact and law relating to the determination of that amount.

Source: Victorian Legislation and Parliamentary Documents, <www.legislation.vic.gov.au>

Source 2

The following is an extract from an article by Michaela Whitbourn, published in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 31 January 2019.

Ambitious 18-month timetable unveiled for defamation law reform

The states and territories have agreed to an ambitious 18-month timetable for overhauling the country's complex and outdated defamation laws, amid rapid changes in online publishing and calls for the laws to provide robust new protections for public interest journalism.

• • •

NSW Attorney-General Mark Speakman started a review of the country's defamation laws in June last year, more than a decade after the states and territories passed uniform laws to replace an unworkable system of eight different defamation laws nationwide.

• • •

Professor David Rolph, a defamation law expert at the University of Sydney, said defamation law was 'notoriously complex ...'.

'Anyone designing a system of law balancing freedom of speech and protection of reputation would not come up with the system of defamation law that we have. There is a lot of scope for reform,' he said.

Source: Michaela Whitbourn, 'Ambitious 18-month timetable unveiled for defamation law reform', *The Sydney Morning Herald*, 31 January 2019, <www.smh.com.au>

Source 3

The following is a hypothetical scenario.

Bradley is an Australian celebrity who has appeared in major films and television series. He has retired from acting and is seeking election as a member of the Victorian Parliament.

Stefani is a journalist for a major newspaper. On a social media website, Stefani wrote the following comment about Bradley: 'Another brainless celebrity trying to make his way into parliament, thinking he knows better than everybody else. This is the last thing the state needs. Bradley should go back to acting in bad films.'

Stefani repeated her comments in an article published by her employer. Stefani's comments and the article were shared widely on the internet and have been published by various websites.

Bradley has sued Stefani and her employer in the Supreme Court of Victoria. Bradley claims that Stefani has published defamatory comments about him that have damaged his reputation. Bradley is seeking damages for loss of reputation and is seeking an injunction to remove Stefani's comments and the article from the internet.

Bradley has elected for his trial to be heard by a jury. The judge in the Supreme Court of Victoria has ordered that the parties attend mediation to try to resolve the dispute.

a. Is the power to change defamation laws a residual power or an exclusive power? Justify your answer.

xplain one reason for the need to change defamation laws in Australia.				

14

SECTION B – Question 1 – continued

c. Bradley believes he is entitled to be elected to the Victorian Parliament because of sections 7 and 24 of the Australian Constitution.

Explain why Bradley is wrong.

responsibilities of the judge and the jury if Bradley's case goes to trial.	

e. Discuss the extent to which an injunction alone could restore Bradley to the position he was in before the publication of Stefani's comments and the article. 5 marks



reasons for your answer.	6

Question 2 (17 marks)

The following is an extract from an article by Greg Walsh, first published in *The Sydney Morning Herald* on 3 March 2016, about the High Court case of *D'Orta-Ekenaike v. Victoria Legal Aid* (2005).

Should you be able to sue your lawyer if you think they've done a bad job?

In Australia a lawyer cannot be sued in negligence for their work during court proceedings or for out-of-court work that leads to a decision affecting the conduct of a case in court.

This 'advocates' immunity' defence has been strongly criticised and there have been repeated calls for the immunity to be abolished or at least narrowed. Those against immunity may have grounds for hope as the High Court will reconsider it this month in an appeal from the NSW Court of Appeal decision of Jackson Lalic Lawyers v Gregory Ian Attwells.

...

The issue of advocates' immunity was last addressed by the High Court in 2005 in D'Orta-Ekenaike v Victoria Legal Aid. D'Orta-Ekenaike initially pleaded guilty to a charge of rape but withdrew his plea at trial. Despite withdrawing his plea the prosecution relied upon his initial decision to plead guilty and he was convicted. On appeal his conviction was quashed and in the retrial the evidence of his plea of guilty was not admitted and he was acquitted. D'Orta-Ekenaike sued his barrister and Victoria Legal Aid claiming that he only pleaded guilty after being advised that he had no defence, that he would receive a suspended sentence if he pleaded guilty, and that if he failed to plead guilty he would receive a custodial sentence.

The High Court found against D'Orta-Ekenaike in a 6:1 decision (Justice Kirby dissenting) that upheld advocates' immunity. Out of the many reasons considered by the court, the majority strongly emphasised the fundamental importance of ensuring finality in judicial proceedings. The court was concerned that allowing lawyers to be sued for work related to court proceedings would open up the potential for ongoing litigation by providing every losing party the ability to continue to litigate their matter by suing their lawyers. Such an outcome, in the court's view, had the potential to impair the ability of legal advocates to focus on the administration of justice and would undermine public confidence in the legal system.

Source: Greg Walsh, 'Should you be able to sue your lawyer if you think they've done a bad job?', *The Sydney Morning Herald*, 4 March 2016, <www.smh.com.au>

a. Was *D'Orta-Ekenaike v. Victoria Legal Aid* a criminal case or a civil case? Justify your answer.

Outline one responsibility of Victoria Legal Aid (VLA) in assisting Mr D'Orta-Ekenaike in his criminal case.	2 m
How could the principle of 'advocates' immunity' be decided by parliament?	3 m

And and the docum	ne of precedent.	4 1

21

END OF QUESTION AND ANSWER BOOK

22

Extra space for responses

Clearly number all responses in this space.

23	2019 LEGAL STUDIES EXAM

TURN OVER

An answer book is available from the supervisor if you need extra paper to complete an answer. Please ensure you write your **student number** in the space provided on the front cover of the answer book. At the end of the examination, place the answer book inside the front cover of this question and answer book.